

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,997	03/09/2007	Henning Buchold	23508	5589
535 7590 07/01/2008 K.F. ROSS P.C. 5683 RIVERDALE AVENUE SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX. NY 10471-0900			EXAMINER	
			GODENSCHWAGER, PETER F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2110101,111	10111 0500		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

(1) PETER E. GODENSCHWAGER

Application No.

Applicant(s)

BUCHOLD ET AL.

Examiner

PETER F.

GODENSCHWAGER

Art Unit

1796

GODENSCHWAGER

(3) Jonathan Myers

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

* /	. ———	
(2) Mark Eashoo.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Those pending</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>That of record</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.	

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed amendments to the claims were discussed, specifically replacing the polymeric multifunctional alcohols with mixtures of polymeric multifunctional alcohols, or limiting the alcohols to polyester polyols or polyvinylalcohols. The proposed amendments appear to overcome the art of record. Also discussed was the criticality of the temperature of the reaction.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MARK EASHOO, Php./ SPE - 1796

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required